

Fictional workflow review example

Illustration only. This example uses a made-up organization and invented figures. It is not a customer case study, audit, or evidence of savings. It shows the kind of reasoning a NoahAI report can contain.

Review focus

A fictional organization wants to reduce repeated follow-ups when a manager asks IT to provide an approved software account. One workflow is in scope. The actual systems and requirements have not been inspected.

Current process described in the example

Manager sends a request by email. An authorized reviewer approves or asks for missing information. IT creates the account after approval and replies to the manager. Requests without a role or approver name need clarification. This sequence is illustrative; a real review starts with the customer’s own process.

Proposed first step

Test a standard request checklist in the existing ticketing tool, if it supports the required fields and access controls. Keep account creation and approval with authorized staff. Do not add AI until a simpler process improvement has been evaluated.

Option	Potential benefit	Check before choosing
Standard checklist	Fewer incomplete requests	Existing form support and approval ownership
Rule based routing	Less manual sorting	Routing exceptions and access restrictions
AI draft summary	May help with long requests	Data suitability, errors, cost, and human review

A small pilot

Use 10 invented requests to test required fields, rejection paths, and completion notices. Then the customer may approve a limited operational pilot in its own controlled systems. Stop if a request bypasses approval or exposes data to an unauthorized role.

Data example

Use invented request ID DEMO-001, role “Program manager,” application “Example App,” and status “Awaiting approval.” Real employee identities and credentials are not required to plan the workflow.

Measurement example

Assume 40 requests per month and five minutes of avoidable follow-up per request. That equals 200 minutes, or about 3.3 hours per month. This is an invented estimate, not a promised saving. A real pilot would measure actual follow-up time before and after the change.

What the customer receives

A Word/PDF report containing the scope, process map, options, assumptions, data boundaries, source references, and an action plan. Installation, system access, and real data migration are not included in this advisory example.

Reference

NIST Privacy Framework, <https://www.nist.gov/privacy-framework>, reviewed October 11, 2026. Used to inform privacy-risk questions, not as certification or endorsement.